

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/693,458	10/27/2003		Brian E. Joseph	07620001C1	2930	
48642	7590	01/20/2006		EXAMINER		
PHILIP D. P.O. BOX 7			BAHTA, ABRAHAM			
		28271-7063		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,			1744		

DATE MAILED: 01/20/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Appli	cation No.	Applicant(s)	•				
			93,458	JOSEPH, BRIAN	E.				
O:	ffice Action Summary	Exam	iner	Art Unit					
			am Bahta	1744					
The Period for Rep	MAILING DATE of this community	ication appears o	n the cover sheet with	the correspondence ac	ddress				
A SHORTE THE MAILII - Extensions of after SIX (6) If - If the period f - If NO period f - Failure to rep Any reply rec	NED STATUTORY PERIOD F NG DATE OF THIS COMMUN time may be available under the provisions MONTHS from the mailing date of this common or reply specified above is less than thirty (so or reply is specified above, the maximum stay by within the set or extended period for reply eived by the Office later than three months term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In nunication. so) days, a reply within th atutory period will apply a will, by statute, cause the	no event, however, may a reply e statutory minimum of thirty (3 and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS e application to become ABAN	by be timely filed 0) days will be considered timel 5 from the mailing date of this of DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1)⊠ Resp	onsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>12/16/05</u> .							
· _ ·		2b)⊠ This action	is non-final.						
Disposition of	Claims								
4a) Oi 5)	Claim(s) 16,17,19 and 20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 16,17,19 and 20 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application Pa	pers								
10)⊠ The d Applic Repla	pecification is objected to by the rawing(s) filed on 27 October 2 cant may not request that any objected that any objected that or declaration is objected the recommendation is objected to be a supplication in the recommendation in the recommendation is objected to be a supplication in the recommendation in the recommendation is objected to be a supplication in the recommendation in the recommendation is objected the recommendation in the recommendation is ob	2003 is/are: a)⊠ ection to the drawing g the correction is re	g(s) be held in abeyance equired if the drawing(s)	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).				
Priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some color None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
2) Notice of Dra	ferences Cited (PTO-892) aftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (·	Paper No(s)/N	nmary (PTO-413) Mail Date rmal Patent Application (PT	·O-152)				
	Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o /Mail Date	r P1O/SB/08)	6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/693,458

Art Unit: 1744

DETAILED ACTION

Receipt of the Terminal Disclaimer filed 12/23/05 is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 16-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Rogers (USP 6,656,238).

Rogers teaches coal-based carbon foam having thermal conductivity of generally less than about 1.0 w/m degree K. See col. 1, lines 49-66 and col. 2, lines 57-59.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rogers.

As discussed above, Rogers teaches applicant's claimed invention except a density ranging from about 0.1 to about 0.8 g/cmc; however, Rogers teaches the carbon foam may have a density ranging from about 0.01 to about 0.5 g/cmc. See col. 2, lines 21-22. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have varied the density of the product in order to achieve the desired thermal conductivity because discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art.

Response to Applicant's Arguments

Applicant's response filed 09/07/04 states that claim 16 has been amended to include the density range limitation of claim 18 and contends that based on this amendment amended claim 16 is not anticipated by Rogers; however,—The Examiner notes that claim 16 does not mention any density range.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Abraham Bahta whose telephone number is (571) 272-1532. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday; 11:30 am - 8:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Crispino can be reached on (571) 272-1226. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/693,458

Art Unit: 1744

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Á. Bahta 12/28/05

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 4